

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –105)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,627 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-105 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	4	19-00358	Tucson Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/7/19
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN			
CAS	3	19-01115	City of Chula Vista, California v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
IDAHO			
ID	4	19-00278	City of Chubbuck v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	4	19-00155	CITY OF AUSTIN, INDIANA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
INS	4	19-00156	CITY OF MADISON, INDIANA v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	19-11726	Mandeville City v. Purdue Pharma LP, et al Opposed 8/6/19
LAE	2	19-11775	Town of Pearl River v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/6/19
MARYLAND			
MD	4	19-02116	Howard County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 8/1/19
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-01850	Freeborn County, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MN	0	19-01907	Pine County, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	4	19-00896	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 8/6/19

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

NYS	4	19-06800	City of Poughkeepsie v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	Opposed 8/6/19
-----	---	----------	--	----------------

NORTH DAKOTA

ND	1	19-00119	McKenzie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
----	---	----------	---

OHIO SOUTHERN

OHS	1	19-00589	City of Fairfield, Ohio v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	---

VIRGINIA EASTERN

VAE	2	19-00299	City of Norfolk, VA v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	---

VIRGINIA WESTERN

VAW	4	19-00025	City of Danville, Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al	
VAW	4	19-00032	Patrick County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Opposed 8/7/19
VAW	7	19-00525	City of Radford, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Opposed 8/7/19

WYOMING

WY	1	19-00155	Green River WY v Purdue Pharma LP et al
----	---	----------	---